

References

- Agboka, Godwin Y. (2013). Participatory localization: A social justice approach to navigating unenfranchised/disenfranchised cultural sites. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 22(1), 28-49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2013.730966>
- Agboka, Godwin Y. (2021). "Subjects" in and of research: Decolonizing oppressive rhetorical practices in technical communication research. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 51(2), 159-174. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047281620901484>
- Agostinho, Daniela, D'Ignazio, Catherine, Ring, Annie, Thylstrup, Nanna Bonde, & Veel, Kristen. (2019). Uncertain archives: Approaching the unknowns, errors and vulnerabilities of big data through cultural theories of the archive. *Surveillance and Society*, 17(3/4), 422-441. <https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v17i3/4.12330>
- Andersen, Rebekka. (2013). Rhetorical work in the age of content management: Implications for the field of technical communication. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 28(2), 115-157. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651913513904>
- Andersen, Rebekka, & Batova, Tatiana. (2015a). The current state of component content management: An integrative literature review. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 58(3), 247-270. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2016.2516619>
- Andersen, Rebekka, & Batova, Tatiana. (2015b). Introduction to the special issue: Content management—perspectives from the trenches. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 58(3), 242-246. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2016.2521921>
- Andrews, Michael. (2020, January 11). Lumping and splitting in taxonomy. Story Needle: Content Strategy for a Post-Device Era. *WordPress*. <https://storyneedle.com/lumping-and-splitting-in-taxonomy/>
- Anson, Ian G., Moskovitz, Carey, & Anson, Chris M. (2019). A text-analytic method for identifying text recycling in STEM research reports. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 3(1), 125-150. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2019.3.1.07>
- Anthony, Lawrence. (2020, December 11). *AntConc* (3.5.9) [Mac/PC/Linux]. Waseda University. <https://www.laurenceanthony.net/software.html>
- Archer, Dawn. (2009a). Does frequency really matter? In D. Archer (Ed.), *What's in a word-list? Investigating word frequency and keyword extraction* (pp. 1-16). Ashgate Publishing.
- Archer, Dawn. (Ed.). (2009b). *What's in a word-list? Investigating word frequency and keyword extraction*. Ashgate Publishing.
- Armaselu, Florentina. (2022). Towards a computer-assisted aesthetics of user response. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 37(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqab069>
- Arthurs, Noah. (2018). Structural features of undergraduate writing: A computational approach. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 2(1), 138-175. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2018.2.1.06>
- Atkins, Sue, Clear, Jeremy, & Ostler, Nicholas. (1992). Corpus design criteria. *Literary and Linguistic Computing*, 7(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/7.1.1>
- Aull, Laura. (2017). Corpus analysis of argumentative versus explanatory discourse in writing task genres. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 1(1), 1-47. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2017.1.1.03>

- Aull, Laura L., & Lancaster, Zak. (2014). Linguistic markers of stance in early and advanced academic writing: A corpus-based comparison. *Written Communication*, 31(2), 151-183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088314527055>
- Austin, John L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Oxford University Press.
- Baek, Seung-ji, Jeong, Hyeon, & Kobayashi, Kyoshi. (2013). Disaster anxiety measurement and corpus-based content analysis of crisis communication. In H. Krautwurmová (Ed.), 2013 *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* (pp. 1789-1794). <https://doi.org/10.1109/SMC.2013.309>
- Baker, Mark. (2013). *Every page is page one: Topic-based writing for technical communication and the web*. XML Press.
- Baker, Paul. (2006). *Using corpora in discourse analysis*. Continuum.
- Baker, Paul. (2012). *Contemporary corpus linguistics*. Bloomsbury.
- Bakhtin, Mikhail M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays* (M. Holquist, Ed.; C. Emerson, Trans.; Revised edited edition). University of Texas Press.
- Baroni, Marco, & Bernardini, Silvia. (2004). BootCaT: Bootstrapping corpora and terms from the web. In M. T. Lino, M. F. Xavier, F. Ferreira, R. Costa, & R. Silva (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation*. <http://www.lrec-conf.org/proceedings/lrec2004/pdf/509.pdf>
- Barton, Ellen L. (1993). Evidentials, argumentation, and epistemological stance. *College English*, 55(7), 745-769. <https://doi.org/10.2307/378428>
- Barton, Ellen. (2004). Discourse methods and critical practice in professional communication: The front-stage and back-stage discourse of prognosis in medicine. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 18(1), 67-111. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651903258127>
- Barton, Ellen, Thominet, Luke, Boeder, Ruth, & Primeau, Sarah. (2018). Do community members have an effective voice in the ethical deliberation of a behavioral institutional review board? *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 32(2), 154-197. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651917746460>
- Bellamy, Laura, Carey, Michelle, & Schlotfeldt, Jenifer. (2012). *DITA best practices: A roadmap for writing, editing, and architecting in DITA*. IBM Press.
- Berberich, Kristin & Kleiber, Ingo. (2023). *Corpus-analysis.com*.
- Biber, Douglas. (1993). Representativeness in corpus design. *Literary and Linguistic Computing*, 8(4), 243-257. <https://doi.org/10.1093/llic/8.4.243>
- Biber, Douglas, Conrad, Susan, & Reppen, Randi. (2000). *Corpus linguistics: Investigating language structure and use*. Cambridge University Press.
- Boettger, Ryan K., & Friess, Erin. (2016). Academics are from Mars, practitioners are from Venus: Analyzing content alignment within technical communication forums. *Technical Communication*, 63(4), 314-327.
- Boettger, Ryan K., & Ishizaki, Suguru. (2018). Introduction to the special issue: data-driven approaches to research and teaching in professional and technical communication. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 61(4), 352-355. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2018.2870547>
- Boettger, Ryan K., & Wulff, Stephanie. (2014). The naked truth about the naked this: Investigating grammatical prescriptivism in technical communication. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 23(2), 115-140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2013.803919>
- Boettger, Ryan K., & Wulff, Stephanie. (2022). *The Technical Writing Project*. WordPress. <http://technicalwritingproject.com/>

- Breuch, Lee-Ann Kastman. (2019). *Involving the audience: A rhetorical perspective on using social media to improve websites*. Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Brezina, Vaclav. (2018). *Statistics in corpus linguistics: A practical guide*. Cambridge University Press.
- Brezina, Vaclav, Weill-Tessier, Pierre, & McEnery, Anthony. (2020). #LancsBox: Lancaster University corpus toolbox (v. 5.x) [Computer software]. <http://corpora.lancs.ac.uk/lancsbox>
- Brooker, Phillip, Barnett, Julie, & Cribbin, Timothy. (2016). Doing social media analytics. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716658060>
- Brown, Gillian, & Yule, George. (1983). *Discourse analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
- Brumberger, Eva, & Lauer, Claire. (2015). The evolution of technical communication: An analysis of industry job postings. *Technical Communication*, 62(4), 224-243.
- Campbell, Kim S., Naidoo, Jeffrey S., & Campbell, Sean M. (2020). Hard or soft sell? Understanding white papers as content marketing. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 63(1), 21-38. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2019.2961000>
- Carradini, Stephen. (2020). A comparison of research topics associated with technical communication, business communication, and professional communication, 1963-2017. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 63(2), 118-138. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2020.2988757>
- Carradini, Stephen. (2022). The ship of Theseus: Change over time in topics of technical communication research abstracts. In J. Schreiber & L. Melonçon (Eds.), *Assembling critical components: A framework for sustaining technical and professional communication* (pp. 39-68). <https://doi.org/10.37514/tpc-b.2022.1381.2.02>
- Carradini, S., & Fleischmann, C. (2023). The effects of multimodal elements on success in Kickstarter crowdfunding campaigns. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 37(1), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10506519221121699>
- Carroll, John M. (1990). *The Nurnberg funnel: Designing minimalist instruction for practical computer skill*. The MIT Press.
- Charmaz, Kathy. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Connor, Jennifer J. (1993). Medical text and historical context: Research issues and methods in history and technical communication. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 23(3), 211-232. <https://doi.org/10.2190/op4q-07xo-r2ev-wrd2>
- Connors, Robert J. (1982). The rise of writing instruction in America. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 12(4), 329-352. <https://doi.org/10.1177/004728168201200406>
- Conrad, Susan. (2017). The use of passives and impersonal style in civil engineering writing. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 32(1), 38-76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651917729864>
- Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA). (n.d.). Retrieved January 15, 2021, from <https://www.english-corpora.org/coca/>
- Corrigan, Julie A., & Slomp, David H. (2021). Articulating a sociocognitive construct of writing expertise for the digital age. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 5(1), 142-195. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2021.5.1.05>
- Crawford, William J., & Csomay, Eniko. (2016). *Doing corpus linguistics*. Routledge.
- Creswell, John W. (1994). *Research design: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Sage.
- Cross, Cate, & Oppenheim, Charles. (2006). A genre analysis of scientific abstracts. *Journal of Documentation*, 62(4), 428-446. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410610700953>

- Dryer, Dylan B. (2013). Scaling writing ability: A corpus-driven inquiry. *Written Communication*, 30(1), 3-35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088312466992>
- Dryer, Dylan B. (2019). Divided by primes: Competing meanings among writing studies' keywords. *College English*, 81(3), 214-255.
- Durack, Katherine T. (1997). Gender, technology, and the history of technical communication. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 6(3), 249-260. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15427625tcq0603_2
- Dushnitsky, Gary, & Fitz, Markus A. (2018). Are we missing the platforms for the crowd? Comparing investment drivers across multiple crowdfunding platforms. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 10. e00100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvi.2018.e00100>
- Eble, Michelle F. (2003). Content vs. product: The effects of single sourcing on the teaching of technical communication. *Technical Communication*, 50(3), 344-349.
- Education First. (2021). *Infinitive*. <https://www.ef.edu/english-resources/english-grammar/infinitive/>
- Egbert, Jesse. (2019). Corpus design and representativeness. In T. B. Sardinha & M. V. Pinto (Eds.), *Multi-dimensional analysis: Research methods and current issues* (pp. 27-42). Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Erickson, Lief. (2019). *The role of taxonomy and search in content usability* [Master's thesis, FH Joanneum]. <https://epub.fh-joanneum.at/obvfhjhs/content/titleinfo/4403250/full.pdf>
- Evia, Carlos. (2018). *Creating intelligent content with lightweight DITA*. Routledge.
- Fahnestock, Jeanne. (1986). Accommodating science: The rhetorical life of scientific facts. *Written Communication*, 3(3), 275-296. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088386003003001>
- Flanagan, Suzan. (2015). Intelligent content editing: A prototype theory for managing digital content. *International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development*, 7(4), 53-57. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJSKD.2015100104>
- Fletcher, William. H. (2007). Concordancing the web: Promise and problems, tools and techniques. In M. Hundt, N. Nesselhauf, & C. Biewer (Eds.), *Corpus linguistics and the web* (pp. 25-45). Brill Rodopi.
- franzke, aline shakti, Bechmann, Anja, Zimmer, Michael, Ess, Charles M., & the Association of Internet Researchers. (2020). Internet research: Ethical guidelines 3.0. <https://aoir.org/reports/ethics3.pdf>
- Gablasova, Dana, Brezina, Vaclav, & McEney, Tony. (2019). The Trinity Lancaster Corpus: Development, description and application. *International Journal of Learner Corpus Research*, 5(2), 126-158.
- Gallagher, John R., Chen, Yinyin, Wagner, Kyle, Wang, Xuan, Zeng, Jingyi, & Kong, Alyssa Lingyi. (2020). Peering into the internet abyss: Using big data audience analysis to understand online comments. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 29(2), 155-173. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2019.1634766>
- Garside, Roger, Fligelstone, Steve, & Botley, Simon. (2013). Discourse annotation: Anaphoric relations in corpora. In R. Garside, G. Leech, & T. McEney (Eds.), *Corpus annotation: Linguistic information from computer text corpora* (pp. 66-84). Routledge.
- Garside, Roger, Leech, Geoffrey, & McEney, Tony (Eds.). (2013). *Corpus annotation: Linguistic information from computer text corpora*. Routledge.
- Gee, James P. (2005). *An introduction to discourse analysis: Theory and method* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

- Geisler, Cheryl, & Swarts, Jason. (2019). *Coding streams of language: Techniques for the systematic coding of text, talk, and other verbal data*. The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/PRA-B.2019.0230>
- Gerbig, Andrea. (2010). Key words and key phrases in a corpus of travel writing: From early modern English literature to contemporary “blook.” In M. Bondi & M. Scott (Eds.), *Keyness in texts* (pp. 147-168). John Benjamins Publishing.
- Gibson, J. J. (1986). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Gillespie, Rob. (2017, January 5). *DITA and topic-based writing: Flip sides of the same coin?* CIDM. [LinkedIn.file:///Users/jswarts/Downloads https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/dita-topic-based-writing-flip-sides-same-coin-rob-gillespie/](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/dita-topic-based-writing-flip-sides-same-coin-rob-gillespie/)
- Glaser, Barney G. (1965). The constant comparative method of qualitative analysis. *Social Problems*, 12(4), 436-445. <https://doi.org/10.2307/798843>
- Glaser, Barney, & Strauss, Anselm. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory*. Aldine Publishing.
- Goffman, Erving. (1974). *Frame analysis: An essay on the organization of experience*. Harvard University Press.
- Goody, Jack, & Watt, Ian. (1963). The consequences of literacy. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 5(3), 304-345. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500001730>
- Graham, S. Scott, Kim, Sang-Yeon, DeVasto, Danielle M., & Keith, William. (2015). Statistical genre analysis: Toward big data methodologies in technical communication. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 24(1), 70-104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2015.975955>
- Hackos, JoAnn T., & IBM. (2006). *What is topic-based authoring?* [Concept]. http://dita-ot.sourceforge.net/doc/ot-userguide13/xhtml/faq/topic_authoring.html
- Hackos, JoAnn T. (2002). *Content management for dynamic web delivery*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (2004). *An introduction to functional grammar* (3rd ed.). Arnold Publishers.
- Halvorson, Kristina, & Rach, Melissa. (2012). *Content strategy for the web*. New Riders.
- Hardie, Andrew. (2014). *Log ratio—an informal introduction*. ESRC Centre for Corpus Approaches to Social Science (CASS). <http://cass.lancs.ac.uk/log-ratio-an-informal-introduction/>
- Harré, Rom. (2002). Material objects in social worlds. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 19(5-6), 23-33. <https://doi.org/10.1177/026327640201900502>
- Henry, Alex, & Roseberry, Robert L. (2001). A narrow-angled corpus analysis of moves and strategies of the genre: “Letter of Application.” *English for Specific Purposes*, 20(2), 153-167. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(99\)00037-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(99)00037-X)
- Heritage, John. (2012). Epistemics in conversation. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The Handbook of Conversation Analysis* (pp. 370-394). John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118325001.ch18>
- hiQ Labs, Inc. v. LinkedIn Corp. 273 F. Supp. 3d 1099, 1103 (N.D. Cal. 2019).
- Hodges, Amy, & Seawright, Leslie. (2019). Writing in transnational workplaces: Teaching strategies for multilingual engineers. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 62(3), 298-309. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2019.2930178>
- Holcomb, Chris, & Buell, Duncan A. (2018). First-year composition as “big data”: Towards examining student revisions at scale. *Computers and Composition*, 48, 49-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2018.03.003>

- Horn, Robert E., Nicol, Elizabeth H., Kleinman, Joel C., & Grace, Michael G. (1969). *Information mapping for learning and reference*. Information Resources.
- Hyland, Ken. (2005). *Metadiscourse: Exploring interaction in writing*. Continuum.
- Ishizaki, Suguru. (2016). Computer-aided rhetorical analysis of crowdfunding pitches. In E. Friess & C. Lam (Eds.), *2016 IEEE International Professional Communication Conference (IPCC)* (pp. 1-4). <https://doi.org/10.1109/IPCC.2016.7740540>
- Itchuaqiyaq, Cana Uluak, & Matheson, Breeanne. (2021). Decolonizing decoloniality: Considering the (mis) use of decolonial frameworks in TPC scholarship. *Communication Design Quarterly*, 9(1), 20-31. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3437000.3437002>
- Johnson, Tom. (2020, March 30). *Are technical writers increasingly playing non-technical roles? Some thoughts on the evolution of technical writing roles*. I'd Rather Be Writing. <https://idratherbewriting.com/blog/evolving-roles-of-technical-writers/>
- Johnstone, Barbara. (2017). *Discourse analysis*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kaptelinin, Victor. (1996). Computer-mediated activity: Functional organs in social and developmental contexts. In B. A. Nardi (Ed.), *Context and consciousness: Activity theory and human-computer interaction* (pp. 45-68). MIT Press.
- Karatsolis, Andreas. (2016). Rhetorical patterns in citations across disciplines and levels of participation. *Journal of Writing Research*, 7(3), 425-452. <https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2016.07.03.06>
- Kaufers, David, & Ishizaki, Suguru. (1998). *DocuScope: Computer-aided rhetorical analysis*. Carnegie Mellon. <https://www.cmu.edu/dietrich/english/research-and-publications/docuscope.html>
- Kaufers, David, & Ishizaki, Suguru. (2006). A corpus study of canned letters: Mining the latent rhetorical proficiencies marketed to writers-in-a-hurry and non-writers. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 49(3), 254-266. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2006.880743>
- Kaufers, David, Ishizaki, Suguru, & Cai, Xizhen. (2016). Analyzing the language of citation across discipline and experience levels: An automated dictionary approach. *Journal of Writing Research*, 7(3), 453-483. <https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2016.07.03.07>
- Kennedy, Graeme D. (2014). *An introduction to corpus linguistics*. Routledge.
- Kim, Dave, & Olson, Wendy M. (2020). Using a transfer-focused writing pedagogy to improve undergraduates' lab report writing in gateway engineering laboratory courses. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 63(1), 64-84. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2019.2961009>
- Koene, Ansgar, Adolphs, Svenja, Perez, Elvira, Carter, Christopher, Statache, Ramona, O'Malley, Claire, Rodden, Tom, & McAuley, Derek. (2015). Ethics considerations for corpus linguistic studies using internet resources. In In F. Formato & A. Hardie (Eds.), *Corpus Linguistics* (pp. 204-206). Lancaster University.
- Kohl, John R. (2008). *The global English style guide: Writing clear, translatable documentation for a global market*. SAS Institute.
- Krippendorff, Klaus. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Krull, Robert. (1997). What practitioners need to know to evaluate research. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 40(3), 168-181. <https://doi.org/10.1109/47.649553>
- Kuebler, Sandra, & Zinsmeister, Heike. (2015). *Corpus linguistics and linguistically annotated corpora*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

- Kynell, Teresa. (1999) Technical communication from 1850–1950: Where have we been?, *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 8(2), 143–151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572259909364655>
- Lam, Phoenix W. (2013). Interdiscursivity, hypertextuality, multimodality: A corpus-based multimodal move analysis of internet group buying deals. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 57, 13–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2013.02.006>
- Lane, Charles. (2017, March 31). *Will using artificial intelligence to make loans trade one kind of bias for another?* NPR. <https://www.npr.org/sections/alltechconsidered/2017/03/31/521946210/will-using-artificial-intelligence-to-make-loans-trade-one-kind-of-bias-for-anot>
- Latour, Bruno, & Woolgar, Steve. (1979). *Laboratory life: The social construction of scientific facts*. Sage.
- Lauer, Janice M., & Asher, J. William. (1988). *Composition research: Empirical designs*. Oxford University Press.
- Lauren, Benjamin, & Schreiber, Joanna. (2018). An integrative literature review of project management in technical and professional communication. *Technical Communication*, 65(1), 85–106.
- Laursen, Anne Lise, Moustin, Birthe, Jensen, Vigdis, & Kampf, Constance. (2014). Using an AD-HOC corpus to write about emerging technologies for technical writing and translation: The case of search engine optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 57(1), 56–74. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2014.2307011>
- Leech, Geoffrey. (2004). Adding linguistic annotation. In M. Wynne (Ed.), *Developing linguistic corpora: A guide to good practice*. ahds: literature, language and linguistics. <http://users.ox.ac.uk/~martinw/dlc/chapter2.htm>
- Leech, Geoffrey. (2007). New resources, or just better old ones? The Holy Grail of representativeness. In M. Hundt, N. Nesselhauf, & C. Biewer (Eds.), *Corpus linguistics and the web* (pp. 133–149). Brill Rodopi.
- Leech, Geoffrey. (2013). Introducing corpus annotation. In R. Garside, G. Leech, & T. McEnery (Eds.), *Corpus annotation: Linguistic information from computer text corpora* (pp. 1–18). Routledge.
- Leech, Geoffrey, McEnery, Tony, & Wynne, Martin. (2013). Further levels of annotation. In R. Garside, G. Leech, & T. McEnery (Eds.), *Corpus annotation: Linguistic information from computer text corpora* (pp. 85–101). Routledge.
- Leijen, Djuddah A. (2017). A novel approach to examine the impact of web-based peer review on the revisions of L2 writers. *Computers and Composition*, 43, 35–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2016.11.005>
- Lüdeling, Anke, Evert, Stefan, & Baroni, Marco. (2007). Using web data for linguistic purposes. In M. Hundt, N. Nesselhauf, & C. Biewer (Eds.), *Corpus linguistics and the web* (pp. 7–24). Brill Rodopi.
- Mackiewicz, Jo, & Thompson, Isabelle K. (2015). *Talk about writing: The tutoring strategies of experienced writing center tutors*. Routledge.
- Malone, Edward A. (2007). Historical studies of technical communication in the United States and England: A fifteen-year retrospection and guide to resources. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 50(4), 333–351. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2007.908732>
- Markham, Annette, & Buchanan, Elizabeth. (2012). *Ethical decision-making and internet research: Version 2.0*. Association of Internet Researchers. <http://www.uwstout.edu/ethicscenter/upload/aoirethicsprintablecopy.pdf>

- McLuhan, Marshall. (1994). *Understanding media: The extensions of man*. MIT Press.
- Mehlenbacher, Ashley Rose. (2019). Registered reports: Genre evolution and the research article. *Written Communication*, 36(1), 38-67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088318804534>
- Melonçon, Lisa. (2012). The rise of academic programs: A call for collaboration. *Intercom*, 59(7), 13-15.
- Miller, Bridget. (2019, June 4). *Pros of using AI in the recruiting process*. HR Daily Advisor. <https://hrdailyadvisor.blr.com/2019/06/04/pros-of-using-ai-in-the-recruiting-process/>
- Miller, Benjamin, & Licastro, Amanda. (2021). Introduction: Reasons to engage composition through big data. In A. Licastro & B. Miller (Eds.), *Composition and Big Data* (pp. 3-21). University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Miller, Carolyn R. (1984). Genre as social action. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 70(2), 151-167. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00335638409383686>
- Miller, Carolyn R., Devitt, Amy J., & Gallagher, Victoria J. (2018). Genre: Permanence and change. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 48(3), 269-277. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02773945.2018.1454194>
- Mueller, Derek N. (2019). *Network sense: Methods for visualizing a discipline*. The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/WRI-B.2017.0124>
- Noble, Safiya U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism*. New York University Press.
- Norman, Donald. (1989). *The design of everyday things*. Basic Books.
- Oakes, Michael P. (1998/2019). *Statistics for corpus linguistics*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Omizo, Ryan, & Hart-Davidson, William. (2016a). Hedge-O-Matic. *Enculturation*, 7. <http://hedgeomatic.cal.msu.edu/hedgeomatic/>
- Omizo, Ryan, & Hart-Davidson, William. (2016b). Finding genre signals in academic writing. *Journal of Writing Research*, 7(3), 485-509. <https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2016.07.03.08>
- O'Neil, Cathy. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown.
- O'Neil, Fer. (2015). Perceptions of content authoring methodologies in technical communication: The perceived benefits of single sourcing. 2015 *IEEE International Professional Communication Conference (IPCC)* (pp. 1-8). <https://doi.org/10.1109/IPCC.2015.7235840>
- Ong, Walter J. (2018). *Language as hermeneutic: A primer on the word and digitization*. Cornell University Press.
- Orr, Thomas. (2006). Introduction to the special issue: Insights from corpus linguistics for professional communication. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 49(3), 213-216. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2006.880750>
- Paradis, James. (1991). Text and action: The operator's manual in context and in court. In C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics of the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities* (pp. 256-278). University of Wisconsin Press.
- Peele, Thomas. (2018). Is this too polite? The limited use of rhetorical moves in a first-year corpus. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 2(1), 78-95. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2018.2.1.04>

- Pennebaker, James W. (2011). *The secret life of pronouns: What our words say about us*. Bloomsbury Press.
- Petersen, Emily January, & Walton, Rebecca. (2018). Bridging analysis and action: How feminist scholarship can inform the social justice turn. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 32(4), 416-446. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651918780192>
- Pflugfelder, Ehren Helmut. (2017). Reddit's "Explain Like I'm Five": Technical descriptions in the wild. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 26(1), 25-41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2016.1257741>
- Pigg, Stacey. (2020). *Transient literacies in action: Composing with the mobile surround*. The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/WRI-B.2020.1015>
- Pirolli, Peter. (2007). *Information foraging theory: Adaptive interaction with information*. Oxford University Press.
- Pirolli, Peter, & Card, Stuart. (1995). Information foraging in information access environments. In I. R. Katz, R. Mack, L. Marks, M. B. Rosson, & J. Nielsen (Eds.), *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 51-58). <https://doi.org/10.1145/223904.223911>
- Priestley, Michael, Hargis, Gretchen, & Carpenter, Susan. (2001). DITA: An XML-based technical documentation authoring and publishing architecture. *Technical Communication*, 48(3), 352-367.
- Rayson, Paul. (n.d.). Free USAS English web tagger. <https://ucrel-api.lancaster.ac.uk/usas/tagger.html>
- Redish, Janice C. (1989). Reading to learn to do. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 32(4), 289-293. <https://doi.org/10.1109/47.44542>
- Redish, Janice C. (1993). Understanding readers. In C. M. Barnum & S. Carliner (Eds.), *Techniques for technical communicators* (pp. 15-41). Macmillan.
- Reppen, Randi. (2010). Building a corpus. In M. J. McCarthy & A. O'Keefe (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of corpus linguistics* (pp. 31-37). Routledge.
- Robles, Vincent D. (2018). Resolving discourse at technical-support helpdesks. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 61(3), 275-294. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2018.2813178>
- Rockley, Ann, Manning, Steve, & Cooper, Charles. (2009). *DITA 101: Fundamentals of DITA for authors and managers*. The Rockley Group.
- Rorty, Richard. (1979). *Philosophy and the mirror of nature*. Princeton University Press.
- Rude, Carolyn D. (1995). The report for decision making: Genre and inquiry. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 9(2), 170-205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651995009002002>
- Rude, Carolyn D. (2009). Mapping the research questions in technical communication. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 23(2), 174-215. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651908329562>
- Saldaña, Johnny. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Sanders, Ted J., Spooren, Wilbert P., & Noordman, Leo G. (1992). Toward a taxonomy of coherence relations. *Discourse Processes*, 15(1), 1-35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01638539209544800>
- Sapienza, Filipp. (2007). A rhetorical approach to single-sourcing via intertextuality. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 16(1), 83-101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572250709336578>

- Scott, Mike. (1997). PC analysis of key words—And key key words. *System*, 25(2), 233-245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(97\)00011-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(97)00011-0)
- Scott, Mike. (2009). In search of a bad reference corpus. In D. Archer (Ed.), *What's in a Word-list?* (pp. 79-92). Ashgate.
- Searle, John R. (1985). *Expression and meaning: Studies in the theory of speech acts*. Cambridge University Press.
- Shelton, Cecilia. (2020). Shifting out of neutral: Centering difference, bias, and social justice in a business writing course. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 29(1), 18-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2019.1640287>
- Smith, Jordan. (2022). Corpus linguistics and technical editing: How corpora can help copy editors adopt a rhetorical view of prescriptive usage rules. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10506519221143125>
- Society for Technical Communication. (n.d.). *About STC*. <https://www.stc.org/about-stc/>
- Society for Technical Communication. (2022). *About the Technical Communication Body of Knowledge*. <https://www.tcbok.org/>
- Sonnenberg, Michelle, Gubala, Carolyn, Burry, Justiss, Griffith, Jessica, Zarlengo, Tanya, & Melonçon, Lisa. (2022). Implementing a continuous improvement model for assignment evaluation at the technical and professional communication program level. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00472816221124605>
- Spartz, John M., & Weber, Ryan P. (2015). Writing entrepreneurs: A survey of attitudes, habits, skills, and genres. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 29(4), 428-455.
- Spinuzzi, Clay. (2003). *Tracing genres through organizations: A sociocultural approach to information design*. MIT Press.
- Spinuzzi, Clay, Nelson, Scott, Thomson, Keela S., Lorenzini, Francesca, French, Rosemary A., Pogue, Gregory, Burbach, Sidney D., & Momberger, Joel. (2014). Making the pitch: Examining dialogue and revisions in entrepreneurs' pitch decks. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 57(3), 158-181. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2014.2342354>
- Spyridakis, Jan H. (1989). Signaling effects: Increased content retention and new answers—Part II. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 19(4), 395-415. <https://doi.org/10.2190/493Q-703B-JBVD-EoT9>
- Staples, Shelly, Picoral, Adriana, Macdonald, Lindsey, Gao, Jie, & Wang, Zhaozhe. (2021). *Crow*. Corpus & Repository of Writing. <https://writecrow.org/>
- Stephens, Eric. (2018). *Prisons, genres, and big data: Understanding the language of corrections in America's prisons* [Doctoral dissertation, Clemson University]. https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/all_dissertations/2103
- Suchman, Lucy A. (2007). *Human-machine reconfigurations: Plans and situated actions*. Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, John M. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, John M. (2011). *Aspects of article introductions*. University of Michigan Press.
- Swarts, Jason. (2018). *Wicked, incomplete, and uncertain: User support in the wild and the role of technical communication*. Utah State University Press.
- Swarts, Jason. (2022). Uses of metadiscourse in online help. *Written Communication*, 39(4), 689-721. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07410883221109241>

- Tardy, Christine M., Sommer-Farias, Bruna, & Gevers, Jeroen. (2020). Teaching and researching genre knowledge: Toward an enhanced theoretical framework. *Written Communication*, 37(3), 287–321. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088320916554>
- Tashea, Jason. (2017, April 17). Courts are using AI to sentence criminals. That must stop now. *Wired*. <https://www.wired.com/2017/04/courts-using-ai-sentence-criminals-must-stop-now/>
- Tham, Jason, Duin, Ann Hill, Gee, Laura, Ernst, Nathan, Abdelqader, Bilal, & McGrath, Megan. (2018). Understanding virtual reality: Presence, embodiment, and professional practice. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 61(2), 178–195. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2018.2804238>
- Thralls, Charlotte, & Blyler, Nancy Roundy. (1993). The social perspective and pedagogy in technical communication. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 2(3), 249–270. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572259309364540>
- Turner, Heather Noel. (2022). Key-notes: A Content Analysis of ATTW Conferences 1998–2018. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 31(4), 401–415. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2022.2034975>
- Upton, Thomas A., & Cohen, Mary Ann. (2009). An approach to corpus-based discourse analysis: The move analysis as example. *Discourse Studies*, 11(5), 585–605. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445609341006>
- University of South Florida. (2023). *Department of English; USF Writes; FAQs*. <https://www.usf.edu/arts-sciences/departments/english/writing-programs/usf-writes-faq.aspx>
- Walczak, Steven. (2017). A text analytic approach to classifying document types. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 1(1), 103–146. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2017.1.1.06>
- Walton, R., Moore, Kristen R., & Jones, Natasha N. (2019). *Technical communication after the social justice turn: Building coalitions for action*. Routledge.
- Weedon, J. Scott. (2020). Emotion and the economy of genre in a design presentation. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 29(2), 188–201. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2019.1689297>
- Wenger, Etienne. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning and identity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wetzel, Danielle, Brown, David, Werner, Necia, Ishizaki, Suguru, & Kaufer, David. (2021). Computer-assisted rhetorical analysis: Instructional design and formative assessment using DocuScope. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 5(1), 292–323. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2021.5.1.09>
- White, Kate, Rumsey, Suzanne Kesler, & Amidon, Stevens. (2015). Are we “there” yet? The treatment of gender and feminism in technical, business, and workplace writing studies. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 46(1), 27–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047281615600637>
- Williams, Joseph M. (1997). *Style: Ten lessons in clarity and grace* (5th ed.). Addison Wesley.
- Wilson, Andrew, & Thomas, Jenny. (2013). Semantic annotation. In R. Garside, G. Leech, & T. McEnery (Eds.), *Corpus annotation: Linguistic information from computer text corpora* (pp. 53–65). Routledge.
- Wolfe, Joanna. (2009). How technical communication textbooks fail engineering students. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 18(4), 351–375. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572250903149662>
- Yates, JoAnne. (1993). *Control through communication: The rise of system in American management*. The Johns Hopkins University Press.

- Zanchetta, Eros, Baroni, Marco, & Bernardini, Silvia. (2011, July 20–22). *Corpora for the masses: The BootCaT front end* [Paper presentation.] Corpus Linguistics Conference, International Convention Centre (ICC), Birmingham, UK.
- Zhang, Jiajie, & Patel, Vimla L. (2006). Distributed cognition, representation, and affordance. *Pragmatics & Cognition*, 14(2), 333–341. <https://doi.org/10.1075/pc.14.2.12zha>